TRANSLINGUAL PRACTICE

Literature, National Culture, and Translated
Modernity—China, 1900–1937

LYDIA H. LIU



STANFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
Stanford, California

CHAPTER 2

Translating National Character: Lu Xun and Arthur Smith

Shortly before I left China, in entition Chinese writer presed one to say what I considered the chief diefects of the Chinese. With some reluctance, I mentioned these awares, cowardice, and callongers. Strangers say, my interheasing messad of getting angry, admitted the junior of my criticism, and proceeded to discuss possible remedies. This is a sample of the intellectual integrity which is one of China's greatest virtues.

Thus Bertrand Russell on one of his many conversations with the Chinese writers and academics who hosted his trip to China in the winter of 1920. During his visit, the philosopher gave numerous lectures, equiversed with the orienters and effect with the orienters with great earth stand. When he remned to England in the full towing year, he did what he had always than after a trip abratal he wrote about his experiences in minute detail. The essays he wrote eventually crystallized into a book entitled The Problem of China (1922), which has a longithy chapter on the subject of "Chinese character." Russell began by dismissing the common mydi of the "subtle Oriental," arguing that "in a game of mutual deception in Englishman of an American can beat a Chinese time times out of ten." One might suspect that the author was targeting a popular Orientalist myth about Chinamen that had dominated the writings of European and American missionaries for well over a century, but the passage quoted above secons to contradic that speculation.

This passage domonstrates an interesting twist on what ethnographets call the relationship between the knower (Russell) and his native informant (the Chinese interlocutor), for the latter is shown as soliciting self-knowledge from the Western philosopher and chids up being neither a native informant nor much of a knowler. What does Russell's narrative tell us about the Chinese and about himself as an author? Should it be read

as just another case of the Orientalism that Said criticizes in a somewhat different cantext? In other words, has Russell invented a factitious China for the gaze of Westerners? It seems to me that the dramatic encounter between Russell and his Chinese interlocutor, which is not without resemblances to the dialogue between Heidegger and his Japanese interlocutor discussed in Chapter 1, points to something far more complicated than Orientalist manienvers. Among other things, it suggests that the making of the myth of national character involves a large measure of coauthorship; furthermore, since the chapter was promptly rendered into Chinese and published in a respectable journal in China, the myth was, in fact, coauthored twice and differently; once in the English original and again in Climese translation.

of national identity in the age of Western imperialism, the majority of China's elite would have asked a similar question. traumatic circumstations of their time. In their desire to resolve the crisis by Russell's narrative belonged to a generation of Chinese caught in the impervious to the literarical contingency of his own discourse. By the same token, the "emment" Chinese writer whose identity was suppressed nineteenth-century European theory of national character, he remained prise the reader. Irasmuch as Russell himself was deeply entrenched in the standing missionary discourse about Chinese character that need not surtor. Avarice, cowardice, and callousness are staple categories of a longwhich are embedded his own language and that of his Chinese interlocubears eloquent witness to the circumstances of modern history within Russell took something to be an essential Chinese virtue that, in fact, sumed in the process of appropriation. Like missionaries before him, about the other even as the subjectivity of the anonymous other is conversation, has the effect of consolidating the author's own knowledge bolized here by the exchange between the two interlocutors in that con-The coauthorship of Chinese character in the English original, sym-

tween Russell's book and its intended English-speaking audionic by no means authorizes a single reading, for rupture takes place as soon in translation begins. I am referring to the second stage of coanthorabip—when a Chinese translator began introducing Russell's chapter on Chinese character to an authorided, Chinese-speaking audience. This translation was brought out by Dongling zazhi (Eastern miscellany) in 1922 directly following the first publication of the essay in the Atlanti Monthly. As a marter of fact, the chapter on Chinese character was the only one of Russell's different-odd chapters renduted into Chinese ar that time. This chapter was fifteen-odd chapters renduted into Chinese ar that time.

chosen because, as the translator Yuzhi put it is an appended note, "the question of the Chinese guomin wag [national character] is one that fact-nates us more than anything clse." Like many of his predecessors, Russell was being refranced by the Chinese debate on national character through the mediation of translingual practice.*

This preamble on Russell is intended to raise a number of questions, theoretical as well as historical, concerning the discursive relationship between East and West in the modern era. What happened when translation and translingual practice subjected the European theory of national character to the interpretation of an "unintended" audience of Chinese speakers? Is there an intellectually more challenging way to account for the historical transaction between East and West than Orientalism, since the latter often reduced the exchange to a matter of specularity between the gazer and the object of the gaze? What kind of light, one might ask, mediated that gaze? What were the terms of the Chinese dichate on national character? How did May Fourth writers and critics articulate their agenda concerning the transformation of Chinese national character through literary efforts?

I begin by taking a brief look at the debate on national character before the rise of modern Chinese literature and then focus on the specific role that Western missionaries such as Arthur Smith played in the invention of the myth of Chinese character. I examine the ambivalent reinvention of that myth by the Chinese themselves, especially in May Fourth literary discourse, whose elimetic event is Lu Xun's "True Story of Ah Q" (1921). By unraveling the circumstances of Lu Xun's contact with Smith's book (Minese Chinese intellectuals, as well as his lifelong obsession with the question of untional character, I try to illustrate the central predicament of modern Chinese intellectuals, who sought self-knowledge under the heavy burden of modernity.³

The Myth of National Character

The Chinest compound guinnia sing (or variants mines xing, guomin de jingge, etc.) is a Meiji weslogiam (kukummar), or one of several neologiams, that the Japanese used to translate the modern European notion of national character often associated with intellectual movements between the eighteenth and nineteenth century. Fueled by the idea of Volkgein (folk spirit), which dominated nationalist discourse in German Romanticism, national character stressed the organic differences between national and, more often than not, the great depth of the German initial and

in the desperate attempt to reclaim discursive authority for the Chinese.) ably one of the few to recognize the gravity of this situation and to fight could be arriculated. (As is shown in Chapter 9, Zhang Binglin was probthority from which alternative views of difference, cultural or historical, progress, thus depriving the canquered race or nation of the ground of au-East-West encounter in terms of cultural essentialism and evolutionary ority, in particular, has been deployed to explain away the violence of the expansion and domination of the world." Its rhetoric of racial superiand has proved tremendously useful in legitimizing Western imperialisi sumes human differences under the totalizing category of national identity War era in some mediated forms. The idea of national character subconsciousness. His theory attained an enormous popularity in the ninethe development of this essentialist notion of national individuality and of the time, Elerder (1744-1803) exercised the most profound impact on German uniqueness. Among the leading French and German thinkers teenth and early twentieth centuries and still prevails in our pose-Cold

citizen). 12 No matter what its contemporary political purpose in the afterto flaws or weaknesses in Chinese national character. In "Lim Zhong-The Chinese, he said, are a peace-loving people, but they are servile, ignoany particular political agenda in the years that followed. Sim Yat-sen, for math of the Hundred Days Reform, Liang's theory exerted a protound this idea from various angles. Examples include "Zhongguo Jirno suyuun tween the years 1899 and 1901. Liang wrote numerous essays elaborating he purpointed these flaws as a lack of nationalism, a fack of the will of the modern nation-state. In a 1902 essay entitled "Xinmin yi" (Disinstance, found it incressary to speak of China's problems in these terms. influence on Chinese intellectuals that by far exceeded the exigencies of tial spirits of the critical), and, most important, his Xinum ilino (The new fature of the Chinese race), "Guomin shirta young him" (On the ten essennegative implications), "Lun Zhongguo resubong zhi jianglai" (On the stangfan stangeheng yt" (Ten moral characteristies and their positive or hm" (China's weaknesses and their historical origins), "Shi zhong dexing for independence and autonomy, and the absence of public spirit." Beguo guomin ziu punge" (On the character of the Chinese critizen; 1963). the Climese guouin (citizen). To Among other things, he attributed the evils identifying the cause of the evils responsible for the deplorable state of course on the new citizen). Liang Qichao expressed a keen interest in ward, was first used by late Qing intellectuals to develop their own theory gisms brought into China at the turn of the twentieth century and after-The concept of national character, like the majority of Japanese neolo-

> or to reject Chinese national identity.14 as my analysis shows, characterizes all subsequent attempts either to claim to the central predicament of the Chinese intellectual. This predicament, perialism of their time and yet still had to subscribe to a discourse that European nations first used to stake their claim to racial superiority points Ling Qichao and Sun Yar-sen were the foremost critics of Western imrant, self-centered, and lacking in the ideal of freedom.11 The fact that

it was no longer capable of meeting the historical demands of the modern need for a radical transformation of the flawed national character, because a judiciary and a democratic tradition. He concluded by emphasizing the individual freedom, which he saw as going hand in hand with the lack of capacity for tolerance had led to a disregard for independent thinking and sionist; the Chinese, tolerant, Guang Sheng's point was that the Chinese foreign nations and religious. The Europeans are xenophobic and exclu-Europeans and the Chinese according to their different attitudes toward and nations. In short, he conceptualized the major differences between the character), and on this basis he compared the Chinese with other races (racial character), gun xing (state character), and zongline xing (religious ment. The author defined national character as an aggregate of zhone xing founding the notion of national character prior to the May Fourth movespecial attention because it crystallizes all the seminal arguments surtional character of the Chinese and its weaknesses). This essay deserves Guang Sheng entitled "Zhongguo guomin xing jiqi ruodian" (The na-In February 1917, Xin qingnian (New youth) published an article by

terms during the New Culture movement and the May Fourth period campaign against traditional culture and cast in predominantly negative question of national character was thus effectively incorporated into the Zhen in "Xinqi boruo de guoren" (The feeble spirit of the Chinese). "The ferences between the civilizations of the East and West, 1918) and Meng Dazhao in "Deng xi wenming genben ahi yidian" (The fundamental difit was traditional. Chen Duxiu's position was more or less shared by Li ter was criticizable simply on the grounds that it was Chinese and that this leader of the New Culture movement, the Chinese national charac-Western peoples) and "Wo zhi niguo zhuyi" (My kind of patriotisin). To chays" (The fundamental difference between the thought of Eastern and the concept an essentialist turn in his "Dong xi minza genben sixiaog zhi traditionalist Chen Duxin dispensed with all of this as he tried to give nesses of Chinese character in terms of historical expediency," the anti-If a Darwintan view compelled Grang Sheng to explain the weak-

whence it turned practically into a near equivalent of guomin ligen sing (flawed national character), as we neve know it. As guizast guantin sing (transforming the stational character) became the dominant theme in the meta-matrative of Chinese modernity, many began to accept modern literature as the best means to remedy China's problems. Over the years, literature and literary criticism proved remarkably successful in rendering the discourse of national character transparent and mimical to historical analysis—so much so that very few studies, except for Marxist criticism, have escaped the grip of its self-evident rhetoric. In

a diagnostic method to cure the sick Chinese people. At this embryonic muci-body opposition. elevating the status of literature above that of science on the basis of a gate the bearing power of medical science to May Fourth literature while the debate on literary modernity effected a subtle homology between the that of state wealth, military power, science and technology, and the like. importance to China's nation-building efforts fundamentally outstripped of Chinese tradition. More significantly, the theory of national character criticism, one that would ultimately rarget Confucianism as the chief evil stage of May Fourth literature, the theory of national character equipped the theory of ground xing as an alternative and believed that he had found what medicine could really do for a nation weak in spirit. He sexed upon erice in his youth, he raised doubts about the potency of science, asking is a case in point. Becoming disenchanted with the study of medical sciliterary and the clinical, and this "metaphorical" analogy helped areahe with their bodies. *** The medical and acutomical tropes that dominated character — now that he had realized that root of their illness did not at all his people—about what constitutes, or is lacking in, the 'Chinese national (I in Xim's favorite werb) the sick mind of the nation in ender to restore Modern literature was thus entrasted with the clinical task of "dissecting." led flam to Justify Chinese literary modernity as a national project whose Lu Xun and his generation of writers with a powerful language of selftheories that professed to explain the cause of China's weakness. Lu Xun tions also inspired those who had lost faith in the students of the popular life to its weakened body. It became for Lu Xun "a way to find out about and faced many historical crises in their own time. But the same queswho both inherited the intellectual burden of their late Qing predecessors kinds of questions that profoundly disturbed the May Fourth generation sible for its flaws? How can we change it for the hetter? These are the What is wrong with the Chinese national character? Who is respon-

Lu Xun became acquainted with the theory by reading Lung Qichao

and other late Qing reformers, but not until he went to Japan and especially after reading Arthur Smith's Chinese Chamsteranta (in a Japanese translation) did he seriously begin to contemplate the possibility of transforming the Chinese character by means of literature. Through the power of his character has gained a firm hold on the imagination of Chinese intellectuals for nearly a century in the form of a collective obsession. Since they are preoccupied with defining, identifying, criticizing, and transforming the discourse of national character itself or reflecting on the contingency of its own historical validity. As recently as the 1980's, post-Mao intellectuals once again asked the century-old question: "What is wrong with Chinese character's as if one could, indeed, come up with a genuine answer. Of course, until that question itself is subjected to interrogation, one can hardly raise afternative questions concerning modern Chinese history and literature.

Lu Xian and Arthur Smith

many things that are just those that we think apost interesting that curiter writers, from Marco Polo to S. Wells Williams, left out a great istics. If some of them seem familiat today, we should remind ourselves that "Smith builds up a complex view of some basic Chinese characterstanding of the Chinese, a contemporary entir of Smith's views observes attenty the five must read books on China among foreigners living in fluential American work on Clima of its time and as late as 1920 was still audience, religious and secular alike. It was the most widely read and inas well as in Britain, the United States, and Canada and reached a with travel nurratives, it enjoyed great popularity aming Westerners in Asia, in the North-China Duily News of Shanghai in 1889; like most missionary China during the latter part of the wineteenth century. He wrote a num-China.³⁴ As evidence of its continued influence on the American under-North China. Chinese Chamicteristics was first published as a series of essays ber of books on the subject of Chinese people while a missionary in rural Enpu) was a missionary from North America who spear many years in mity in the early twentieth century. Smith (known to the Chinese as Ming rich grounds for a focused look at the meaning of Chinese literary moderencounter with this theory through the works of Arthur Smith provide ers used it to promote modern literature. The circumstances of Lu Xun's mainly Western missionaries, long before Chinese enlightenment think-The theory of Chinese character was imperted to Asia by Westerners,

of national character. Admese Characteristics first captured Lu Xun's attenthe Chimese, in happened to be the primary source for Lu Xun's conception brought it out in 1896. According to Zhang Mengyang, Lu Xun came tion through the industrious efforts of a Japanese translator named Shibue the theory of national character became known and disseminated among to this book as well as to the Japanese translation in his letters, diary, and by Japanese nationalists.20 On more than one occasion, Lu Xun alluded 9) when the theory of national character was being passionately discussed into contact with this translation during his student days in Japan (1902-Tamotsu who rendered the 1894 edition of Smith's book into Japanese and familiar prose (zawer). In the entry for July 2, 1926, of the "Mashang zhi bibliophile, Lu Xun filled his diaries, real and fictional, with such details.) their fictional works), which he had bought in Beijing. A passionate xiaoshuo kanlai de Zhina minzu xing (Chinese characteristics perceived from he mentioned a book written in Japanese whose title he translated as Cong riji" installments (Subchapter of the instant journal; 1926), for instance, to Smith's Chinese Characteristics.29 "As early as twenty years ago [sic]," He pointed out the heavy debt of the author of the book, Yasnoka Hideo, in accasion. For instance, in the entry for July 4, 1926, of "Mashang zhi translation in mind. It is interesting to note that Lu Xun disagreed with the existence of that book."35 Apparently, he had Shibue Tamotsu's 1896 the title of Shingjin kishitsu. We Chinese, however, barely took notice of Lu Xun recalled, "the Japanese had already published a translation under to determine the true nature [of Chinese character]," said Lu Xun in the for the Chinese to criticize their own national character. "It is no easy task his quarrel with the Japanese anthor by no means canceled out the need lective crotic obsession. On the other hand, Lu Xun strove to show that raji," he ridicalled Yasuoka for taking Chinese cursion as indicative of a col-Yashoka on a mumber of issues and even made fun of some at his mistakes same entry. "Alas, the Charese prefer not to think about themselves that Although Smith's book was but one of many channels through which

Seven years later, Lu Xun again alluded to the Smith book in comnection with the question of national character in a letter to Tao Kampde dated October 27, 1933-

Nowadays, there is no lack of so-called Shiman [China experts] in Japan but very few who maly know China. Most of the attacks on the weaknesses of the Chinese in that country have been based int a master text.—Smith's Chinese Chinese in that country have been based into Japanese monthy Chinacteristus. The original work, which was rendered into Japanese monthy forty years ago, surpasses the similar line of work done by the Japanese them.

selves. It would be a good idea to have the book translated and introduced to the Chinese audience (although I realize that it contains miscellateous errors). I woulder if the English original is still in prior ³³

on eugenics, culture, and biological science organized by Wang Yanwa of Shibue's 1896 version of Smith's book in classical Chinese including titled Zhinaren zhi qizhi after the Japanese Shinajin kishitsu-kishitsu being one will eventually start translating Smith's Chinese Characteristics, because no. 3" (Memorandum, no. 3), he wrote: "I still have hopes that somestrong throughout his life. Shortly before his death, in "'Li ci cunzhao, nor Pan Guangdan scented aware of the existence of the 1903 version. of the Commercial Press " (see Figs: ra-c). Interestingly, neither Lu Xun ter and manoral hygiene), which was part of a series of popular writings and included them in his Muca texing promites weeking (National charactime, rendered lifteen chapters of Smith's book into vernacular Chinese sion is a free adaptation of Smith's work, not from a Japanese translation the Japanese translator's notes and commentaries. It was translated and an alternative semantic translation of "character"-is a close rendering these years." In fact, two Chinese translations exist. The first one, enin the 1980's that Lu Xim's deathbed wish remained unfulfilled after all and praise from others, we must struggle with ourselves and find out what this book offers insights that would lead us to analyze, question, im-Lu Xun's death. The translator Pan Guangdan, a returned student from but directly from the English original, published in 1937, the year after version because it came out the year after he left for Japan. The second verpublished by Zapoxin she in Shanghai in 1903,35 Lu Xun did not see this it means to be Chinese." Scholars such as Zhang Mengyang complained prove, and transform ourselves. Rather than clamoring for recognition North America and a leading engenist and Frendish linerary critic of his Lu Xun's desire to see Smith's book in Chinese translation remained

What sore if a book is Chinese Chunderistics? Smith's critic, Charles W. Hayford, notes that the book is flawed by "immaturity of theory and by Smith's failure to examine his own middle-class American culture in such a way as to understand its relativity." Although I agree with much of what Hayford says about Smith's limitations, he seems to imply that a self-reflexive, properly trained ethnographic approach would have helped climinate its ethnocentrism." In my view, it was perhaps not Smith's theoretical immaturity but his profound medlectual indebtedness to the nineteenth-century European theory of national character that led him to take the positions he did. Smith proposed 26 main categories as the theoretical ground for his definition of Churcie character and devoted

a chapter to each: face, economy, industry, politeness, a disregard for time, a disregard for accuracy, a ralent for instanderstanding, a talent for instanderstanding, a talent for indirection, thesible inflexibility, intellectual turbidity, an absence of public spirit, conservation, indifference to comfort and convenience, physical vitality, patience and perseverance, contentment and cheerfulness, filial piety, benevolence, an absence of sympathy, social typhoons [sie], mutual respectability and respect for law, mutual suspicion, an absence of sincerity, polytheism-pantheism-atheism. Within each chapter, Smith elaborated on the category by telling anecdores and making generalized (and relentlessly comparative) statements about the Chinese race as a whole. "

Take the chapter on "the absence of nerves." Smith describes the Chinese as being oblivious to levels of pain, noise, or life's other incurveniences that Occidentals (often equated with the Auglo-Saxon race in his writings) find imacceptable or offensive. Commenting on what he calls the Chinese habits of sleep, he wrote:

noted by competitive examination as to their capacity to go to sleep across that of many others, position in sleep is of no sort of consequence. It would instance (like that of the hibernating bear), during the first two hours of sumroom darkened, nor does he require others to be still. The "infant crying in open and a fly inside. " three wheelburrows, with head downwards, like a spitter, their numits with be easy to raise in China an army of a million mm—nay, of ten millions hours after midhight. In the case of tuest working-people, at least, and also in mer alternooms, and they do this with regularity, no matter where they may to some regions the entire population scene to fall askep, as by a common the right" may continue to cry for all he cares, for it does not disturb him. the just, with no reference to the rest of the causion. He does not want his down on his hed of stalks or mud bricks or rattan and sleep the sleep of which drive us to despair annoy him. With a brick for a pillow, be can lie speaking, he is able to sleep anywhere. None of the triffing disturbances himself and the Occidental as in the directions already specified. Generally in the teem of sleep, the Chinese establishes the same difference between be. At two hours after noon the minerse at such acatoos is as still as at two

This passage vividly captures Smith's style of presentation. The use of the present tense and of the totalizing pluras: "the Chancse" provided him with a powerful grammar of truth, and he devoted this grammar to the singular task of spelling out the essential difference between the Chinese and the Occidental. Sleep, as a common physiological marker, serves to delineate a field of cultural difference whose meanings are predetermined by reference to the indisputable superiority of the Occidental. At

acter and, at the same time, remained itself unseen and unarticulated as exploited in the service of the familiar discourse of Chinese national charrelationship between the foreigner and his native servant was invariably to the missionary presence-it is not surprising that Smith, who comrween master and servant—the local gentry openly showed their hostility the fundamental condition of that discourse. ing class or from others' accounts of similar experiences. This class-based derive either from his own unhappy experience with the Chinese workplained constantly about his native servants, cited many appendences that tween a foreign missionary and a Chinese in those early years was that behis native servants. Given that the closest tie that could be formed beauthor's racist attitude toward the Chinese, but something else in it seems to circumscribe his ractan in class terms; that is, Smith's relationship with the "hibernating bear" and "spiders." This contempt no doubt reflects the that reduces the object of its description to a less than human annual issue is not a question of misrepresentation, but one of discussive power he struck by the contemptuous metaphors meant to be humorous such as through rhetorical and figurative uses of language. One carenot help but

When this relationship gets played our ar the level of international politics, the rhetorical question Smith asked in his concluding chapter scents inevitable: "Can China be reformed from within herself?" His misswer is that China stands in need of foreign interventions so the evangelical message of Christian civilization may spread and improve the character must be reached and purified, conscience must be practically endinoted, and to longer imprisoned in its own palacelike the long line of Japanese Mikados" (italies added). How would a Chinase respond to such missionary thetoric? The povelist Lao She, who had extensive contact with Christian missionaries in his early youth, left a scathing caricature of missionaries in his novel EF Mit (Mr. Ma and son). The following possage from the novel may shed some useful light on the unspoken message of Smith's statement.

The Reserred Evans was a man who had spoot over 20 years to Ultima as a missionary. He knew everything about China—from the mythical days when Fu Xi invented the Eight Trigrams, all the way up on the time Youn Shikai proclaimed himself emperor (that was an event which particularly delighted him). He was so knowledgrable about China that aide from the fact that his spoken Chinese was poor, he could literally past for a walking encyclopedia of China. And he genuinely loved the Chinese people. Sometimes in the middle of the night when he couldn't get to sleep, he prayed to

God that China would semeday by colonized by the British, with burning team in his eyes, he beweeked the Lord if the Chinose don't let the British take over, then all those masses of yellow-ficoid, black-barred soult will move make it to heaven! 4

If Reverend Evans is a mere fictional character brought to life by the genius of Lao She, he is no more so than the Chinese characters portrayed by Smith. The points of resemblance between Evans and Smith are startling, although in Smith's case the reader does not have a detached narrator directing attention to the irony of the situation of to Smith's violent verbs. The fact is that those verbs translate extremely well into imperialist action: invasion (reaching), conquet (purifying), and the seizure of sovereignty (enthroning).

"Missionary discursive practices were intended to, and in fact did, shape reality rather than merely passively reflect or mirror it," as James Hevia points out in a recent study," His analysis of the missionary accounts (including Smith's China in Convulsion) of the atrocities committed by the Allies in retaliation for the Boxer Rebellion lends a great deal of insight into the ways in which those early representation—such as the implicit comparison with biblical events, the portrayal of deceased missionaries as markyrs, and pronouncements on Chinese character—shaped the historical "real" and the ways in which future generations perceived it. "Missionary discourse and the imperialist actions of the Eight Powers in the aftermath of the Bexer movement implicate each other in more ways than the metaphorteal linkager suggested above. (Inti-dentally, Lao She's inther, who was a Manchu gund at the forbidden City in Beijing, was killed during the Allied assault on the city.) "

Indeed, the same can be said of the missionary discourses on the Chinese national character that should not be taken as mere false representations of the Chinese but, rather, as genume historical events that have shaped the course of modern history and the relation between China and the West. Smith's book helongs to a special genue of intestionary and imperialist writings that made a hage difference in modern Western perceptions of China and the Chinese, as well as the self-perception of the Chinese and the Westerners themselves. Some of the earliest offerts to theorize about Chinese character were written by American missionary S. W. Williams, who published The Middle Kingdom in 1848; British missionary Henry Charles Sirr, whose China and the Chinese came out in 1849; French missionary Everiste-Regis Huc, who brought out The Chinese and Their Rebellions in 1856 and is quoted by Smith in Chinese Chanacteristics.

Others include Sir Waker Henry Medhurst, the famous author of The Foreigent in Fer Cathay (1872), and Bettish journalist George Wingrove Cooke,
who served as the China correspondent for the Louden Times between 1857
and 1858. Smith quotes from the preface to Cooke's published collection
of letters in his own introduction to Chinese Chanceristics. His quote is
particularly illuminating for understanding the myth of national character
in the nineteenth century. The intertextual relationship thus established
between Smith and Cooke betrays the status of Western knowledge regarding Chinese character that is thoroughly embedded in the theoretical
discourse of its time and has little to do with the transparent or objective
truths it claims. In fact, Cooke himself expressed a certain degree of ambivalence regarding the knowledge claims of this discourse. To illustrate
my point, I quote a lengthy passage from Cooke's preface:

analysis, which should leave nothing to be desired but Truth unity to those who know the Chinese practically: a smart writer, entirely reption of Chinese character as a whole. These difficulties, however, occur resuly to agree with me as to the impensibility of a Western mind forming a con-I have, in these letters, introduced no elaborate essay upon Chinese character, ignirant of the subject, might readily strike off a brilliant and antithesical with the most eminent and candid modogues, and have always found them several successive letters. I may add that I have often talked over this matter rubbed so rudely against my hypothesis, that in the interest of truth I burnt my essay, they were always saying something or dung something which having the mistortune to have the people under my eye at the same time with I have written several very fine characters for the whole Chinese race, but for not having made something out of such opportunities. The truth is, that triumphant dogusatism. Every small cribe will, probably, atterly despise me afford wider scape for ingenious hypothesis, profound generalization, and It is a great omission. No theme could be more tempting, no subject could

Some day, perhags, we may acquire the necessary knowledge to give to each of the glaring inconsistences of a Chinaman's much its peoper weight and influence in the general mass. As present, I at least must be content to avoid seriet definitions, and to describe a Chinaman by his most prominent qualities. (Italies addled)

Apart from dropping or changing the words stallicized above, Smith's quotation from Cooke's preface misses the subtle many of the larger's apology by construing it as a failed attempt to describe Chinese character. Using Cooke's ambivalent rhetoric to his own advantage, he argues that, after several hundred years of acquaintance with China, Westernets are now ready to form some kind of integrated knowledge about the Chinese just as they have done with other complex natural phenomens. His own work would typify such knowledge.

and object in contemporary East-West cultural criticism, Unlike some ary discourse is put to an "unintended" use by an "unintended" audience? translation and translingual practice surrounding the theory of Climese affinities between his text and the phenomenon Said discusses in Orienalproblematic that Said treats so well in another context. character presents us with a different set of problems from the Orientalisa read by the Chinese and participated in the Chinese debate on national from Japanese translations. 4 The fact that these texts were translated and than whole texts). And the majority of these translations came via Japan, into Chinese (although many of these translations were excerpts rather stories about the Far East, Smith and some of his predecessors such as of the earlier Orientalist philosophers and philologists who had written blurred than the often-assumed specular relationship between the subject brought into the picture (and it must be), the situation becomes far more context of Chinese translingual practice, for as soon as the host language is What kind of reality does it shape? These questions must be asked in the national character within China. What happens when the same missioncomplexity of the picture, particularly when one is also dealing with the discourse about the Chinese to the elite Chinese such as Lu Xun, and the having either been introduced by the Japanese first or simply reworked Henry Charles Sirr and the others mentioned above were also translated tam seem obvious. Such an explanation cannot, however, bring out the full Smith played an important part in introducing the resources of a intalizing Did Smith invent a Clina for the Orientalist gaze of the West? True,

Translating National Character

Whon knowledge passes from the guest language to the bost language, it mevitably takes on new meanings in its new historico-linguistic environment; the translation remains connected with the original idea as no more and, perhaps no less, than a trape of equivalence. Everything else must be determined by the users of the host language. In the course of translingual practice, the assumed meanings of Smith's text were thus interrepted by the unintended andiences (first Japanese and then Chinese) who subjected them to unexpected readings and appropriation in the context of the host language. Lu Xim was among the first generation of this unintended Chinese audience, but he was no ordinary reader or translator. On the basis of an earlier Japanese translation of the Smith book, he "translated" the missionary theory of Chinese character into his own literary practice and became the foremost architect of modern Chanese fiction.

From early on, Lit Xun's struggle with the question of national identity was fraught with conflicts, doubts, and ambivalences. On the one hand, he was attracted to the discourse of national character as a theory that would enable him and others to explain the traumatic experience of the Chinese since the Opium War of 1830–42; on the other hand, his subscription to that theory was simultaneously thwarted by his situated subjectivity as a Chinese, which had nothing in common with the condescending view of missionaries like Smith. In Wang you La Xun yinxing ji (Reminiscences of my late friend Lu Xun), Xu Shoushang, a lifelong friend of Lu Xun, recalled Lu Xun's early contact with the discourse of nutronal character in Japan.

During the time the two of us were together at the Köhan lustitute. Lu Xun would often bring up there major questions for discussion, and these were all interconnected questions. First, what was the best ideal of human nature? Second, what was roost lacking in Chipose national character? Third, what were the roots of its sickness? His decision to give up medicine in order to throw himself wholebeartedly into literary movements was driven by the desire to solve those problems, and be grappled with them throughout his life. He knew that even though such problems would not disappear overnight it was still worth the effort, and he was willing to make personal contributions to a possible solution. With that goal in mind, he started creating journals and translating fiction and wrote the several million words that he did in the subsequent years. ²⁰

Xu's view is supported by Lu Xun's confession in the much-quoted preface to his first collection of short stories. Nahan (Call to arms). Recalling the circumstances of his conversion from medical studies to modern literature at the Sendai Medical School, Lu Xun wrote:

I do not know what advanced methods are now used to teach microbiology, but at this time blower ufides were used to show the microbes, and if the lecture ended early, the instructor inight show alides of national scenery or bower to fill up the time. This was during the Buisto-Japanese War, so there were many war films, and I had to join in the chipping and cheering in the lecture ball along with the other students. It was a long time since I had seen any comparious, but one day I saw a film showing some Chinese, one of whom was bound, while many others stood around hun. They were all strong fellows but appeared completely apothetic. According to the commentary, the one with his hands bound was a spy working for the Russiaus, who was to have his head cut off by the Japanese military as a warning to others, while the Chinese beside him had come to enjoy the spectacle.

Before the term was over I had left for Tokyo, because after this film I felt that medical science was not so crucial after all. When the people of a mation



FIG. 2. The execution of an alleged Chinese spy in Manchuria by Japanese soldiers during the Russo-Japanese War (1905)

were ignorant and weak gonomic (citizens), it mattered little whether or not they were physically strong if in the end they amounted to little above than the object of a fattle apecrade or the audience for such a specticle. Physical diarses, by comparison, seemed not such a terrible thing after all, although it too crist lives. I came to the conclusion that the important thing to do was to transform people's spirit and that iterature was the best suitable means to that such before my decision to promote a literary movement. ⁵³

Citis passage tends to be quoted and analyzed by critics who wish to establish a straightforward hiographical reading of the author's fictional works. For many years, scholars have labored to identify the slide in question, but with little success; and it has been suggested that the famous incident may have been fabricated by the author out of events he had warnessed or heard about. In 1983, Japanese scholar Ota Susanna brought to light an obscure photo currying the date 1905 face Fig. a). The small penation the side result "Execution of a Russian spy. Among the audience were also soldiers laughing (shot outside the town of Kaiyuan, Manchuria, on March 20, 1905)." The date coincides with the period of the Russo-Japanese War described in Lu Xun's narrative and, moreover, the content of the photo bears a striking resemblance to that of the slide he claims to

have seen. For all these similarities, however, scholars have not been able to establish the exact relationship between the two. 55

However, the receivery of the slide and the factual ground for Lu Xun's narrative would not automatically account for the power of Lu Xun's narrative. One would be still interpreting Lu Xun in the scholarly mode of the fire indirect style, that is, a paraphrasing, albeit in scholarly narrative prose, of his enlightenment ideas in his own terms. In My reading intends to focus on the rhetoric of representation in this baunting narrative of violence: Who represents and who gets represented? Who views the representation?

Lu Xun's strikingly poignant description of his traumatic experience calls for a reading that must account for the violence of representation, and not just the representation of violence, inflicted by a cinematic spectacle upon an unintended audience—Lu Xun the narrator. The spectacle, the viewers framed by the spectacle, the viewers outside that frame, the utilitended Chinese viewer among the audience, who in turn becomes the narrator that recounts the story one reads, and, finally, the reader who is made to go through the mediated viewing experience—all these must be taken into account as part of our complex experience of Lu Xun's representation of horror. In a later and less discussed essay that evokes the same incident. Lu Xun tried to grapple with the contradiction of his position as a Chinese viewer in that soul-wreathing moment. In this 1926 essay—entirled "Tengye viausheng" (Professor Fujino) and devoted to the food recallication of Fujino Gonkyurō, a teacher at the Sendar Medical School—Lu Xun refranced his story about the power slide:

During my second year, bacerrology was added to the corrientum and the comfiguration of bacreria was taught exclusively through film slides. Whenever the licture ended early, the instructor would show slides of news to fill up the ring; much of which had to do with the Japanese unlitary triumph over the Russians. Unfortunately, some Chinese were depicted in one of those threes who had been cought by the Japanese for allegedly apying for the Russians and were about to be executed. There are a group of Chinese that to instance within the film but, to be letture hall, three not another Chinese reaching the execution within the film but, to be letture hall, three not another Chinese reaching. It was Languett.

"Bareaul" They diapped hands and cheered loudly.

As a rule, the clapping of hands and obsering would follow each of the shows. But this time I found them particularly jarring to the eac Years later after I returned to China, I was to witness similar scenes of execution that people would watch with reliab and obser as if they were all intoxicated. Oh, what imbedified Is was there and then that my thinking underwent a transformation. (Italies =lded)

Translating National Character

his medical studies. More explicitly than in the preface quoted earlier, I.A. ping. With equal vehemence, he refused to identify with the Chinese onviewers in the lecture hall-he cannot join in their cheering and clap-Xun drew a sharp distinction between himself and the chearing Japanese of violence in stories such as "Medicine," "A Warning to the Public," The coincidence and the refusal duly translated into numerous spectacles but refused to be, either the object or audience of such representation. lookers he saw in the film or in real life. His subjectivity coincided with, and "The True Story of Ah Q," as well as in some of his "Wild Grass" again in Lu Xun's texts. Indeed, the multiple coincidences and noncoincirole of a witness to the same spectacle of horror enacted over and over implicated in the violence of representation by being induced to play the level of reading where the reader is shocked to discover that she or he is national character, the drama of violence in these texts also unfolds at the their countrymen with great relish. Staged as a representation of Chinese poems in which an unfeeling crowd watches the execution or plight of dences between the reader, the narratur, the spectator within the text, and those outside it raise important questions for our understanding of Lu Xun's subject position in the matter of Chinese national character. The transformation alluded to here is Lu Xun's decision to abandou

Eu Xun's concept of gramm xing refers to the negative aspect of national character. To some, character (guardin fuger xing), which they locate specifically in the concept of national struggles against imperialism and feudalism during the Republican period. Others soo this concept as an equivalent of nation cong defined as a totality of homogeneous ideas, mood, will, and emocing defined as a totality of homogeneous ideas, mood, will, and emocing conditioned by social norms and by national instery and exonomy. Whatever their personal agenda, most critics share the assumption that that analysis of Lu Xun. Rather than viewing Lu Xun as a participant in the study of Lu Xun. Rather than viewing Lu Xun as a participant in the making of a historical discourse, they generally credit hun with the discovery of Chirose national character perso. The True Story of Ah Quiescoulty plays two this picture.

"Ah Q" stands for a twentieth-century legacy in Chinese literature and culture. As contemporary critic Li Tuo sums up so well: "The word and culture. As contemporary critic Li Tuo sums up so well: "The word and culture. As contemporary critic Li Tuo sums up so well: "The word and the per used to exist in the Chinese language. It was the pure invention of Lu Xun. However, once the idea escaped from under the pen of its creator, it took on a life of its own and traveled among hundreds of thousands of people whose repeated exocations and citations helped

of Chinese readers and coincs. Drawing mainly on La Xun's own desire the theory of national character has claimed the attention of the majority generate further topics and discourses."48 Of those topics and discourses, to transform the national character of the Chinese, critics had "The True acter. A They eite much evidence from Lu Xun's own works in support of Story of Ah Q" as a quintessential text about the Chinese national charpaid to the equal cuntribution to the myth of national character made by embodiment of Chinese national character.64 But little attention has been that view, and their evidence generally aftirms the character of Ah Q as an character. The criticism is increapably contaminated by the same intellerthe body of literary criticism that aims to legitimize the reading of national nightmure of having to bear witness to the cinematic scene of horror is tual predicaments with which Lu Xun himself had to struggle. The latter's replayed in a literary criticism that insists on testifying to the execution of always comes back to haunt the critics in various forms of violence. the flawed national character in Lu Xun's fiction. Such is the power of Lu Xun's representation of fragmented subjectivity that the cinematic scene

a writing brush in his life, is asked to draw a circle (in place of a signastory. The most poignant is the moment when Ah Q, who has never held nalize defeat has inspired some of the most entertaining episodes in the central argument for Chinese national character. Ah Q's tendency to ratioture) on a court document that probably contains his own death sentence. sation. Presently, however, he regained composure by thinking, 'Only his circle had not been round that he felt there was a stain on his repuout of preson, and to have so draw circles on paper, it was only because this world it was the face of everybody at some time to be itragged in and Embarrassed that he cannot make the circle round. Ah Q thinks that "in idints can make perfect circles. And with this thought be fell asleep."16 it tell us about Chinese national character in general? One thing at least is This depiction to doubt reflects the essence of Ah Q-ism, but what does certain: before the arrival of the missionary discourse, face had not been a unique property of the Chinese. The first chapter of Chinese Characteristics. meaningful category in the comparative study of cultures, much less the of many of the most important characteristics of the Clanese To save hended, 'face' will be found to be in itself a key to the combination lock for example, is devoted to face." We are told that "inner rightly appreallowed to be beheaded in his rebes of office in order to save his face!" have heard of a Chinese District Magistrate who, 24 a special favour, was one's face and lose one's life would not seem to us very attractive, but we In textual analyses, Ah Q's obsession with face is often cited as a

theatrical terms."67 forms the subam, falls upon his knees, prostrates himself and strikes his of an actor in a drama. He throws himself into theatrical attitudes, per-"Upon very alight provocation, any Change regards himself in the light Smith attributed the cult of face to the strong Chinese instinct for drama: make such actions superfittous, not to say ridiculous. A Chinese thinks in head upon the earth, under circumstances which to an Occidental seem to

Beijing during their crackdown on the Boxer Rebellion. gory of face in his discussion of the Allies' brutality against the city of James Hevia makes an illuminating observation about Smith's care-

of the allied powers were concerned themselves with appearances or that as a singular attribute of the colonized, while denying that representatives the role of face in authorizing the destruction of walls, towers, and temples mistakenly ascribe magical powers to walls and confuse the apparent and the their discursive practices might actually produce "face." Constructing their The China fore of missionaries such as Brown and Smith constituted "face" ritualized destruction and lesson teaching. We must consider, in other words Chinese practices but rather the place that it holds in a Western discourse of that form. The point is not whether face is actually an organizing category in Clinicse social behavior, and it has come down to us largely unquestioned in illusions of face), the Powers could then in good conscience act with im-Chinese in these terms (making their object, as it were, responsible for the Smith presented this "Chinese characteristic" as an accurate representation of punity against symbols they took as significant to a Chinese mind that could

a whirtwind: The Young Willow and Eler Hurbard's Grave was not herote regether, so he did not sing I'll thresh you either." Vann, pathetic, relicuwhen he wanted to raise his hands, he remembered that they were bound enough. The words of 'I regret to have killed' in The Battle of Dragon and and searches his memory for a suitable song: "Flis thoughts revolved like execution ground, he regrets that he has not sung any lines from an opera paraded through the streets. When he traffizes that he is heading for the In the seme preceding his execution, Ali Q is put on a convict's eart and nest culture, his script was enacted almost verbarint by a theatrical Alt Q Thirty years after Smith made those pronouncements about face in C.hiuses of the trajectory of a discourse when translingual practice is involved an unintended use by the Chinese? One must account for the complexi-Tiger were too poor. I'll thath you with a sted more was still the best. But lous, and, worst of all, theatrical. Ah Q's performance seems to confirm But what trappens when this missionary stary about face is put to

> as the mourning vest? story might be connected with the earlier text in more ways than just concharacter before he conceived the idea of "Alt Q." which suggests that his tails. First, Lit Xun was already acquainted with Smith's theory of Climese the theory of Chinese character fabricated with the same foreign materia represent Chinese character, or something else? One further question: Is the two texts? Does the Ah Q who wears a "white year of foreign cloth" whereas Lu Xun's narrator informs us that Ah Q is forced into a "white farming Smith's point. Second, Smith's magistrate wore a dignified robe. Smith's description of Chinese character except for some significant de-[maturning] vest of foreign cloth." Are there points of allusion between

check comment Smuth's chapter on face in an essay and made the following tongue-in-In 1926, five years after the publication of "Ah Q," Lu Xun mentioned

to say him ten [a Chinese term for the Chinese] instead of Shingin, for this uses a demystory Japanese term for the Chause) as well. They will then have actions, but was the good faith of those upper-chas Shingin (here Lu Xun on their knowledge, they will not only be invincible in diplomatic tramso-called Chinese timiun or minezi [face]. They are either influenced by Smith I know quite a number of foreigners who devote themselves to the study of form of address; soo, has to do with the face of the "Chinese." At even put it to standard practice. I am sure that, if they continue to improve that those foreigners are long seasoned in this kind of knowledge and have or have discovered the topic through their own experiments. But I suspect

their mutual interests are served by it. If class figures as an important precisely call the theory into question? End La Xim contradict himself? fit into this picture? Does not an illustrate, homeless lumpen like Ah Q factor in Li Xun's understanding of Chinese chimeter, how does Ali Q because it provides a credible explination for the Chinese race as because and the upper-class Change, and the theory is useful to them not so much write insight, has something to do with transactions between imperialists character is far from distincensical. The study of face, as he observed with Lit Xim's satisfe bash is directed at those whose knowledge of Chinese Where exactly did he stand in relation to Smith?

Natsume Seecki, he pursues at greater lengths the textual linkages be-Cogol, the Polish novelist Henryk Stenkiewaz, and the Japanese novelist tant light on these questions. Taking up Zhou Zhoun's suggestion that Lu Xun's teclinque of irony in "Ah Q" was mainly modeled on that of Patrick Hanan's study of the literary prototype for Ah Q sheds impor-

Signkiewicz. His analysis reveals striking similarities between "Ah Q" to treat the meanest figures in village life." The protagonist in "Bartek which are characterized by the use of a "high irony on the narrator's part and Stenkiewicz's "Bartek the Victor" and "Charcoal Sketches," both of rween "Ah Q" and two stories written by La Xun's favorite Polish writer, as transposed from the Polish literary prototype may be the relevant factor ceeds national boundaries by a large measure and that the problem of class Sienkiewicz's works, but it does indicate that the character of Ah Q exinvestigation by no means suggests that Lu Xun's story is derivative of to give us a different explanation within the context of the story. 22 Hanan's phies" found in "Charcoal Sketches," although Lu Xun's narrator chooses Lu Xun's story may have been inspired by the ironic term "proper biograthe Victor," and according to Hanan, the word zhengzhum in the title of Ah Q's name, for example, echoes a passage at the beginning of "Bartek well beyond these parallels to certain broad features. The disquisition on "Ah Q" and the Sienkiewicz stories established by Patrick Hanan extend Repa prefigures Ah Q's absurd romance. In fact, the connections between pathetic figure whose romantic longings or lust for the wife of the peasant loser in the games of life, and Zolzik, the hero of the other story, is a Ah Q's philosophy of so-called spiritual victory. Burtek is a perennial the Victor" is a Polish peasant whose talent for self-deception anticipates

and the downtrodden (xiadeig ren) when discoursing on Chinese national onstrate that Lia Xim draws a line between the upper class (shangdong ren a reading based entirely on the theory of national character. "They demworks. The complexity of Lu Xun's thinking allows the Marxist critics to ing for a class-informed reading, these critics hase their claim on Lu Xim's criticism. Lu Xun's story does not reflect the Chinese national character the story of Ah Q on a basis of class struggle. P According to this line of rual sources as Hanan has analyzed. It is represented by orthodox Chinese that challenge seldom proceeds from a concern with the interplay of texthe interpretation of Ah Q at an embodiment of national character, but (Writing under the lamplight) in which Lu Xun commented on Bertrand character. The key evidence they cite is an essay called "Deng xia manbi" find powerful evidence from his voluminous prose writings to discredit had to live through the hard times of the Republicia revolution, in argubut rather the deplorable attuation of the lower-class Chinese peasant who Marxist critics who, since Qun Xingeun in the 1930's, have interpreted Russell's remarks about Chinese character and criticized him for mistaking Class-informed readings do from time to time pose a challenge to

the smales on the faces of the Chinese coolies as a quintessential Chinese virtue. Russell wrote:

I remember one hot day when a party of us were crossing the hills in chairs—the way was rough and very steep, the work for the coolies very severe. At the highest point of our journey, we supped for ten minutes to let the men rest. Instantly, they all sat in a row, brought out their pipes, and began to laugh among themselves as if they had not a care in the world. In any country that had learned the virtue of forethought, they would have devoted the moments to complaining of the heat, in order to increase their tip. 30

Lit Xun observed sareastically: "If the coolies did not smale to their pairon.

China would stop being the kind of country it is now." "

convincing, because it cannot explain the dynamic of a discursive struggle of his surmounting of that limitation." To my mind, this argument is not tended to dismiss Lu Xun's concern with national character as a limitation of Lu Xun's thinking about China as a hierarchical society, but it his narrator's inscripion of a different subjectivity in the narrative trastous by focusing on the nijeare of the imported theory caused by the theory without reservation. My own reading of "Ah Q" will explain these the two, including those moments when Lu Xun appears to endorse the arcuns to me, thes not in the homology between Lu Xuu and a theory inevidence." A more fraitful critique of the concept of national character, it Lu Xun's mind, one that has confounded critics with such contradictory a teleological point of view is to blot out the extraordinary complexity of tendency. To impose a judgment of Instorical limitation on Lu Xun from where no ideas, certainly not Lu Xun's, could uniformly follow a single in the evolution of his thought and to take his later interest in class as a sign ticalt La Xun for his early limitations), but eather in the tensions between ported from the West (the usual assumption of Marxist errites when they Marxist criticism is illuminating in its attention to the manifold layers

Subjectivity in Cross-Writing: The Narrator in "The Time Story of Ah Q"

On more than a few occasions, Lu Xian confessed than "The True Story of Ah Q" was intended to be a portrayal of the national soul of the Chinese. For example, his preface to the Russian edition of the story contains the following statement: "Luried my best to paint the soul of our countrymen in modern times, but I am not so certain whether my enderwor has been soccessful or not." "In This remark is repeatedly invoked by

pointed out by most Lu Xuu scholars. relationship between the critic and the object of criticism, which has been the people he acruses. It is not as if one needs to interrogate again the confesses that he might be just as quilty of the crune of cannibalism as savagely."41 The narrator of his earlier story "The Diary of a Madman, anatomical tropes, "but I dissect myself much more often and much more sect other people all the time," wrote Lu Xun, using one of his favorite no more exempt from enticism than anybody else. "It is true that I disauthor inadvertently raises a question relating to the relationship among ago, Lu Xun wrote a story called 'The True Story of Ah Q' with the in-There is ample evidence in Lu Xun's works for his belief that critics are weaknesses that he attributed to Ah Q and to the people of Wetchung. including himself in the criticism or to what degree he shares the national tation. What intrigues me here is not whether Lu Xun was capable of terpretations of the story as a roman a clef, at another level, however, the At one level, this quote is a sardonic reflection on some contemporary innumber of individuals have come out to identify themselves as 'Ah Q.' not specify whether he himself was included therein or not. This year, a tention of exposing the weakness of his fellow citizens, although he did tor of "Ah Q" immediately to the reader's mind, he says: "Twelve years call attention to another essay, entitled "Zaitan bandia" (My further vicoss the author, text, and the reader, as well as to the problem of interpre-That must have been part of the unfortunate karma of the modern age." [8] Writing in the third person, which brings the familiar voice of the narraon reservation), in which Lu Xun gives this reading an unexpected twist. critics who try to restrict the story to a single privileged reading. Here, I

a clef). What this means for interpretation of the story is that it brings obstructs the reader's identification with the object of criticism (coman a profound distrust of the author-reader continuum, a distrust that, on This question places the mediation of the narrator and the construction the critique of Ah Q and national character possible in the first place? then the question becomes What is there in the narrative itself that makes gory (author or reader?) need not guide the direction of one's reading. of Ah Q or the question of who should be included in the Ah Q catethe question of narratorial mediation to the fore. That is, if the identity self be included?) as be has meted out to others and, on the other hand, the one hand, exposes the author to the same entique (should be himbetween the text and the act of interpretation. This perception led to in the essay "Zastan baolur" is, to tny mind, the linkages he perceived The interpreted twist that I.a Xim gave to the reading of "Ah Q"

> mediatory voice of the narrator. ship between the text of "Ah Q" and its implied readership as well as the that recounts the story is mirrored by an equally complicated relationrator of the refracted viewing experience. This convoluted relationship resonances here. The staging of the spectacle of horror that is watched by account of the classroom scene in his preface to Nahan finds interesting between a spectacle and its several relays of audiences as well as the voice by an unintended audience, I.a Xun, who becomes the retrospective nar-Chinese spectators within the frame of the photograph is in turn watched of his subjectivity at the heart of the problem of interpretation. Lu Xun's

flow did he accomplish all this in a deceptively straightforward marrative? compromising the implied reader as he did in poking fan at his characters. birds with one stone? Precisely, Lu Xun seemed to take as much delight in watching the execution of the "tragic" hero in the final scene? Killing two why not, since the implied reader is induced to join the cannibalistic mob mental stupicity, although s/he may feel slightly guilty afterward. And tended to overlook the facts that Lu Xun went to great lengths to tease his hero and that the reader cannot but be entertained by Ah Q's monu-Criticisms that emphasize ideology in "The True Story of Ah Q" have

eral opinion" by the narrator as if it were his own language or opinion." of Lu Xun's favorite Russian writers, in this context and sees his writing mediated representation or hybridization of another's language or "genas an example of grotesque pseudo-objective motivation, that is, a highly with the unmasking of another's speech." Bakhtin mennoos Gogol, one may legitimately be grasped in terms of Bakhtin's perceptive analysis of linking it with the life of an illiterate, deprayed pessant. This use of trony simultaneous delinement of a time-lumored genre of historiography by example, in his treatment of Ah Q as a candidate for a biography and his nator is responsible for the "raising" and "lowering," effect of trony as, for I find this understanding of narratorial mediation very neetid and, by exaccomplished within the boundaries of a single simple sentence, merges brid construction where the "act of authorial annuasking, which is openly crylization." This term describes a typical aspect of heteroglossia or hycanne style in novelistic discourse, particularly, his concept of "parodic the contrast makes the latter ordinatous." The other words, Lu Xun's nurviolent contrast to the events described; one is lofty, the other squalid, and persona, referring to himself in the first person and speaking in a tope "in "category of presentational irony" in that the narrator is given a distinct rhetorical figure in the story. "Ah Q" falls into what Hanan calls the Hanan's analysis of Lu Xun's technique pinpoints frong as the chief

Translating National Character

the knowledge of national character in "Ah Q" is mediated through the opaque presence of the narrator. Specifically, I argue that the narrator is the key factor in the construction of the multilayered meanings within the story and that those meanings are embedded in the structured relationships that bind the narrator (dramatized "author"), Ah Q, the residents of Weizhuang, and the implied reader together.

narrator introduces himself as the "author" of a work that bears the title but unlike the "realistic" peasant, this "I" inhabits two fictional/stylistic of "The True Story of Ah Q," a work that has not yet been written. The rator. Chapter 1 opens with the first of these worlds when the first-person shuttles between them with the imputed invisibility of an ontriscient narworlds simultaneously (or two diegeses, in Genette's terminology) and a fine line between the extradiegetic level (i.e., narrator speaking from understanding of the relationship between the narrator and the fictional or rather between the levels. Yet these levels are absolutely crucial to an story unfolds, which leads to the difficulty of reading between the lines, the narrative resulf. Indeed, the two levels quickly collapse into one as the (narrator being the subject and object of narration simultaneously) within outside the fictional world he is recounting) and the autodiogetic level that has already been written (as far as the reader is concerned) draws fact the narrator speaks from within the narrative about writing a story world he depicts. Like Ah Q, the narrator is a fictional character invented by Lu Xun,

The second of the fictional woulds is much easier to grasp than the first since it more or less conforms to the ordinary expectation if what a good story should consist of; time, place, events, chiracter, and so forth, in this story should consist of; time, place, events, chiracter, and so forth, in this and the setting is a village in southern China called Wetchinning, where a series of events will change the lives of the villagers and end the wretched life of Ah Q. The formal boundary of this world is marked by Chapter 2, where the marrator ceases to speak in the first person and begins to assume the third-person ormanicient voice. Since the first-person narrative in the fest-person narrative (one may in fact treat the introductory chapter as a marrative frame), it cannot but affect the meanings that subsequent sphodes generate in the story. What I am trying to suggest is that the reading of Ah Q's story cannot but take full account of the presence or erased presence of the narrator.

As a dramatized "author," the narrator in Chapter I reveals himself to be an old-fashioned Chinese literatus caught in a period of transition

His several allusious to the journal New Youth suggest that the time of his writing, which was that of the May Fourth period, is separated from the time of the story by almost a decade. The narrator's knowledge of the old karming is obvious as he deliberates the pros and cons of the various biographical genres; moreover, he has some knowledge of Western literature as well. But he is unconfortable with the old learning, which he mocks and parodies relentlessly; nor does he particularly fancy the idea of the new. For instance, his exaggerated concern with Ah Q's name and family genealogy parodies the pretentiousness of traditional Confucian values, but the adoption of the Western alphabet does not necessarily relegate him to the camp of the New Culturalists either. The following is extracted from his elaborate disquisition on Ah Q's name:

Since I am afraid the new system of phonetics has not yet come into common use, there is nothing for it but to use the Western alphabet, writing the name according to the English spelling as Ah Quet and abbreviating it to Ah Q. This approximates to bindly following the New York magazine, and I am thoroughly ashamed of myself; but since even such a learned man as Mr. Chao's son could not solve my problem, what else can I do?***

of stylistic possibilities. The shifting voice, which switches to the third creating the "raising" and "lowering" effects of front within a broad range rative structure in which the water of the narrator shifts back and forth and "modernity" (read Western), builds up an extremely complex narwhich explores the ambiguous space between "tradition" (read Chinese) while putting them down at the same time. The subtle stylistic device Califure movement, this parrator here adopts the views of a Mr. Chao regular courributor to the New Youth magazine and a leader of the New mess the marked difference in the above quote. Whereas Lu Xun was a stylistic voice might be mistaken for Lu Xun himself, one can hardly symbolizes. But if there is the least likelihood that the parratur or the wory proper Ah Q himself detests all that the Institution Foreign Devil and abbreviation of an ambiguous Clamese folk name, although in the et al.), Polish (Sienkiewicz), and modern vernacular Chinese. The prodirectly or indirectly: English (Arthur Smith), Japanese (Shibue Tamotsu making of this story itself involves as many as four different languages tagonist Ah Q's name, as the narrator tells us, is an English translmeration person in the subsequent chapter, provides the key to the interpretation of Ah Q is a product of translingual practice after all! Recall that the

My question in this reading is not To what extent is Ah Q a symbol

Job "56 : del _ 2001 provincial condidate. This part of the story filled all who heard it with about his adventure in town, the narrator observes in a detached manner. maintain an ironic distance from them at the same time. When Ah Q lies restricted to what the village folks know, sithough he also manages to zhuang, and throughout Chapter 6 his knowledge of Ah Q is carefully "According to Ah Q, he had been a servent in the house of a successful this point, the narrates sees with the collective eyes of the people of Weithink back and wonder where he had been all that time" (pp. 93, 89). Ar year. Everybody was surprised to hear of his return, and this made them "Weizhwang did not see Ah Q again till just after the Moont Festival that returns that may provide a clue to the nature of this narrative strategy turns to the village. The opening passage of Chapter 6 marks one of those and his next arrival and move on to tell what happened after Ah Q repher. What he does is, instead, to skip the gap between Ah Q's departure hero wherever he goes. But Lu Xun's narrator is a most peculiar biograunusual for a self-proclaimed biographer who ought to be following his even as Ah Q is forced to go to town after a series of blunders involving women, theft, and punishments by the Zhao family. This is rather of view is clearly restricted by a selected marrative focus on the village of scenario? Even in the chapters dominated by the third-person owniscient Weizhuang. In other words, the invisible narrator never leaves the village, narrator, these questions cannot be ignored, because the onthiseient point of national character? or To what extent may be be viewed as a specimen Weizhuung? Where does the question of national identity figure in this tween the narrator and Ah Q and between the narrator and the people of of the lower-class peasant? Rather, I ask Whar are the relationships be-

at the scapidities of Ah Q and at the pettiness and crucky of the village of Weizhuang? If he is contained by that world, what enables his sarcasm "Ah Q." But what about the narrator, who also inhabits the microcosm people Lu Xun linnself once suggested in the preface to the Russian edition of China? If so, the people in it must represent the national character, as his story. Should Weighnang be interpreted as a nuterocostute unage of reality and Ab Q's delusions. But it is always within Weighning or within free indirect style, and the like to bring out the contrast between harsh in and out of Ah Q's mind, using psycho-unreation, thought language, that of the villagers, in the majority of the chapters, the parrator weaves the transactions between Ah Q and the villagers that the mittatur locates Elsewhere, the narrative point of view does not always coincide with

> prohably contains his own death sentence but, when asked to draw a circle not only is incapable of signing his name on a piece of court paper than and it practically ends with an almost symmetrical episode in which Ah Q instead of his signature, fails to accomplish that task as well. The scene is with the narrator's disquisition on historical writing and Ah Q's name, tor in the same way that illiteracy disconpareers Ah Q." The story legiting Here we can note the role of writing, for writing empowers the narra-

him to sign his name. of Ah Q, which he wanted to thrust into his hand. Ah Q was now nearly trig how to hold it when the man pointed out a place on the paper, and told frightened our of his wits, because this was the first time in his life that his hand had ever come into contact with a writing brush. He was just woulder-Then a man in a long coat brought a sheet of paper and held a brush in front

"by that case, to make it easy for you, draw a circle!" "1-1-cm"t write," said Alt Q, shamefaced, nervously holding the brush

to close it swerved out again, making a shape like a melon need. (pp. 11). ever, not only was that wretched brush very beavy, but it would not do his bidding, Instead it wobbled from side to side; and just as the line was about people would laugh at him, he determined to make the circle round; howdown and, as painstakingly as if has life depended on it, drew a circle. Afraid trenshed, so the man spread the paper on the ground for him. Ah Q bent Ah Q tried to draw a circle, but the hand with which he grasped the brush

through an amination marrative point of view that punctrates the mind of An Q as well as the minds of the public in Weizhnung erature exhibited in the course of the stony but also knowledge obtained by his elevated status as a writer and by his exclusive access to knowledge This includes not only the knowledge of Chinese history and Western litdescension, sympathy, and even ambivalence roward him are conditioused is disappletely for and stading sen. The narpuor's critichems of Ah Q and conchaim existing between them as members of two different classes known By crintings, the narrator's ability to write cutifies hun to certain kinds of turnfile before the enormous symbolic authority attached to writing in to fact, the presentation of the narrator as Ali Q's opposite signals the vast subjectivation demaid to Als Q even as at fixes him from the latter's vices. Chintese culture and fater exclonalize his failure into victory as is his work. fulling to draw the mancillous endle is not surprising. All he can do is power of naming and writing is in the hands of the mirrator. Ah Q's function terror O, and far to the alphabet from the letter Q. But since the If Ah Q had drawn a perfect entire, it would have resembled the

and, in so doing, hoped to emerge as the subject and agent of their own cusing finger at the indigenous tradition, culture, and the classical heritage theory of Chinese character to justify this endeavor by pointing an acand about the masses. May Fourth writers such as Lu Xun deployed the ing of the musionary discourse in terms of Chinese literary modernity. a Chinese narrator capable of analyzing and criticizing the protagonust not as if the myth of Chinese character were not there, after all, Smith's THE STATES 3-vis the lower class represented by ignorant underdogs like Ah Q, as May Smith's totalizing theory of Chinese character and leads to a radical rewrittreatise on the Chinese obsession with face was hardly lost on Lu Xun or nificandy raptures one's knowledge of Chinese national character. It is Fourth literature appointed itself the voice of enlightenment speaking to This rewriting sought to redefine the role of the Chinese literary elite vis-Alt Q. My point is that Lit Xim's story creates not only an Alt Q but also position in the fibric of the stary. The subject-position in "Ah Q" sig-The introjection of such narratocial subjectivity profoundly supersedes Being a dramatized author/narrator also means cutting out a subject-

Was their enlightenment project largely successful? Did these intellectuals become advocates of wholesale Westernization and liberal ideologica? How did they negotiate the changing relationship between themselves as cultural critics on the one hand and the state and the rest of the nation on the other hand? These and related questions are the subject of the next chapter.